

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/516,176	SASAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	H. (Holly) T. Le	1788	

All Participants:

(1) H. (Holly) T. Le.

(2) Paul White, Applicant's Representative.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Non-enabling issue raised by the opposition's opinion.

Claims discussed:
Claims 1,2, 18-21, 23, and 25

Prior art documents discussed:
NOne

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner suggested that the spray-drying process and particle size of 0.1-5000 microns be added into the product claims. to avoid possible first paragraph rejection. In addition, claim 18 as in its most recent form is incomplete as part of the claim is missing.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/H. (Holly) T. Le/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1788

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:00 pm EST

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)